1. BACKGROUND

The kinds of writing expected of you in college are different than what you are used to in other environments. Throughout this semester, you've been working to understand the *discourse community* of college composition students. You may also have noticed that the type of writing you do in this class is different from what's expected in other classes. That's because academic disciplines each use writing for different purposes to communicate with distinct audiences. Then, when you leave class and go to work, the kinds writing you use to engage with fellow employees and achieve that company's goals are different again. You possess numerous literacies that allow you to engage with a variety of groups as an insider.

For this assignment, you're going to examine the structure, function, and dynamics of a group you're part of. Choose a specific discourse community that either involves or intrigues you, then research an answer to this question: **What are the goals, practices, and characteristics of this discourse community?** By examining the characteristics of a familiar discourse community for this class, you might become more aware of how communities function—and how you might smoothly join them—in the future.

2. Procedure

In addition to class assignments and activities from Weeks 8–10, the following steps will help you create a robust ethnography.

- **Collect Data:** Learn detailed information about a small segment of your discourse community. Think depth, not breadth. Recall situations where the community communicated effectively or strategies that set the community apart.
- Organize and Analyze the Data: Using §2.4 of the Swales article as a guide, draw conclusions about the community. What characteristic(s) of the community are most enlightening or essential to understand?
- **Identify Conflicts or Problems:** Using Alan (from the Wardle text) as an example, examine potential trouble spots within the discourse community, and explain how those issues relate to the unspoken rules or characteristics of the community.
- **Determine Your Focus:** Now that you have analyzed your data and reached conclusions about your discourse community, you need to choose what is and is not worth writing about. What's the overall impression you want to leave readers understanding?

Write: Draft your analysis. Refer to the sample papers on Canvas for examples of how you might approach your response.

3. Assessment

	QUESTION	IDENTIFICATION	ANALYSIS	CLARITY
Impressive	Provides an insightful answer to the stated research question.	Provides an in- Writer clearly illus- Author draws insight sightful answer trates the textual ful conclusions about to the stated re- framework used by the studied commusearch question. The studied community, showing the preparch question. The studied community and highlights sented research to be the implications of relevant to the field. That framework.	Author draws insight- Writing is ful conclusions about and easy to the studied commu- low/understand nity, showing the pre- paper is logicall sented research to be consistently relevant to the field.	Writing is clear and easy to follow/understand; paper is logically and consistently organized.
Successful	Identifies and answers a research question.	Writer identifies the studied discourse community as such and identifies its characteristics.	Writer identifies the Author analyzes the Writing, organizastudied discourse discourse community tion, and/or idea flow community as such and provides documay have occasional and identifies its mented support as issues that do not characteristics. Reverely detract from readability.	Writing, organization, and/or idea flow may have occasional issues that do not severely detract from readability.
Insufficient	Provides no clear research question motivating the paper.	Provides no clear Writer does not illus- Author research question trate the character- research motivating the istics of the studied fails to paper. group and/or fails to or draw identify it as a discourse community.	presents of findings but analyze them connections.	Technical problems and/or organizational inconsistencies make the writing difficult to understand and/or distract the reader.

TABLE 1. Discourse Community Ethnography Evaluation Rubric